## Multiplicity of Scision Neutrons from Density Functional Scission Dynamics

N. Carjan<sup>1,2</sup>, I. Stetcu<sup>3</sup>, M. Rizea<sup>2</sup>, A. Bulgac<sup>4</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup>FLNR, JINR, Dubna, Russia <sup>(2)</sup>NIPNE-HH, Bucharest, Romania <sup>(3)</sup>Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA <sup>(4)</sup>Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

# Plan

- Motivation
- Dynamical Scission Model
- Time Dependent Density Functional Theory
- Scission Dynamics from TD-SLDA
- Multiplicities of Scission Neutrons
- Prompt  $\gamma$ -Rays and Evaporated Neutrons
- Concluding Remarks

## **Motivation**

The most important feature of nuclear fission is that it is accompanied by emission of prompt neutrons (PFN). Two PFN sources have been discussed so far:

1) Neutrons evaporated from fully-accelerated excited fragments (EVN).

2) Neutrons dynamically released at scission (SN) due to the diabatic coupling between the neutron degree of freedom and the rapidly changing neutron-nucleus potential.

The relative intensities of these two components are completely unknown. It is very difficult to separate them experimentally since the gross features of PFN can be accounted for by both hypotheses.

## **Motivation-2**

While the 1st component depends on the excitation and extra deformation with which the fission fragments are born (Madland-Nix 1982), the 2nd depends on the dynamical evolution of the system during the scission process (Carjan-Rizea 2012). Therefore a simple stationary approach suffices for EVN but a more complicated dynamical approach is needed for SN. There are three parameters in the dynamical scission model: the nuclear shapes just before ( $\alpha_i$ ) and immediatelly after ( $\alpha_f$ ) scission and the duration  $\Delta T$  of the transition between these two shapes. Since these quantities were unknown, in the past we have used educated guesses.

## **Motivation-3**

At present reliable values for these quantities are available from the density functional theory (DFT) applicable to superfluid systems (usually named the superfluid local density approximation or SLDA), within a time-dependent extension (TD-SLDA) (Bulgac et al. 2016). Among the many nuclear energy density functionals we choose the one based on the Skyrme force SkM\* (Bartel et al. 1982) that reproduces the fission barriers in <sup>240</sup>Pu. We extract these three quantities and calculate the multiplicity of the neutrons released at scission and emitted immediately after (i.e., during the acceleration of the fragments). Finally we estimate the energy available to emit prompt  $\gamma$ -rays and evaporate neutrons:  $E_{sc}^* + \Delta E_{def}$ 

To simulate the evolution of the neutron wave functions from  $\alpha_i$  to  $\alpha_f$  lasting  $\Delta T$ , the two-dimensional time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE2D) with a time-dependent potential (TDP) is solved. The distribution of final wave packets  $\hat{\Psi}^i(\Delta T)$  (that correspond at t = 0 to the eigenstates  $\hat{\Psi}^i$  of  $\alpha_i$ ) over the eigenstates  $\hat{\Psi}^f$  of  $\alpha_f$ :

$$a_{if} = \langle \hat{\Psi}^i(\Delta T) | \hat{\Psi}^f \rangle.$$
(1)

constitutes the core of DSM. All wave functions have an implicit dependence on the cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho, z)$ .  $a_{if}$  is  $\neq 0$  only if  $|\hat{\Psi}^i\rangle$  and  $|\hat{\Psi}^f\rangle$  have the same projection  $\Omega$  of the total angular momentum along the symmetry axis.

## DSM-2

The rapid change of the potential in which the nucleons move produces, by means of a diabatic coupling, their excitation and eventual emission. The  $a_{if}$  coefficients are used to calculate the scission neutron multiplicity  $\nu_{sc}$  in a simple and intuitive way. It is given by the sum of the probabilities  $P_{em}^i$  that a neutron occupying a given bound-state i is emitted:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{em}^{i} &= v_i^2 \left( \sum_{f} |a_{if}|^2 \right) \\
\nu_{sc} &= 2 \sum_{i} P_{em}^{i}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

i-sum is over bound states while f-sum is over unbound states.  $v_i^2$  is the occupation probability of the state i.

Similarly, the excitation energy in which the fragments are left immediately after scission is given by

$$E_{sc}^{*} = 2 \times (\sum_{f} V_{f}^{2} e_{f} - \sum_{f} v_{f}^{2} e_{f}),$$
(3)

where  $V_f^2 = \sum_i v_i^2 |a_{if}|^2$  is the probability that an eigenstate  $|\hat{\Psi}^f\rangle$  of the final configuration  $\alpha_f$  is occupied after the sudden transition and  $e_f$  is its eigen-energy. The i and f sums are over the bound states of the initial and final nuclear configurations, respectively. The nuclear shapes involved are described by Cassini ovals (Pashkevich 1971) with two parameters:  $\alpha$  (the overall deformation) and  $\alpha_1$  that fixes the mass asymmetry.

# TD-SLDA

In SLDA, the nuclear system is described by a density functional  $\mathcal{E}(n(\vec{r}), \nu(\vec{r}), \vec{j}(\vec{r}), \dots)$ , where *n* is the normal (number) density,  $\nu$  is the anomalous density,  $\vec{j}$  is the current density, etc. They are defined in terms of the  $u_{k\uparrow(\downarrow)}$  and  $v_{k\uparrow(\downarrow)}$  components of the quasiparticle wave functions (qpwfs). The dynamics of the nucleus is followed in real time by solving a system of time-dependent Schrödinger-like equations

#### **TD-SLDA-2**

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u_{k\uparrow} \\ u_{k\downarrow} \\ v_{k\uparrow} \\ v_{k\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} =$$
(4)

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{\uparrow\uparrow} - \mu & h_{\uparrow\downarrow} & 0 & \Delta \\ h_{\downarrow\uparrow} & h_{\downarrow\downarrow} - \mu & -\Delta & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta^* & -(h^*_{\uparrow\uparrow} - \mu) & -h^*_{\uparrow\downarrow} \\ \Delta^* & 0 & -h^*_{\downarrow\uparrow} & -(h^*_{\downarrow\downarrow} - \mu) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{k\uparrow} \\ u_{k\downarrow} \\ v_{k\uparrow} \\ v_{k\downarrow} \end{pmatrix},$$

with the dependence on the spacial coordinates and time not shown explicitly. The one-body Hamiltonian  $h = \partial \mathcal{E} / \partial v^*$  and the pairing field  $\Delta$  depend on the densities and currents constructed from the qpwfs at the time

 $t_{\bullet}$ 

We calculate at each time the number density  $n(\vec{r},t) = \sum_{k} (|v_{k\uparrow}(\vec{r},t)|^2 + |v_{k\downarrow}(\vec{r},t)|^2)$ shown in the next slide at several times before and after scission in  $^{240}Pu$ . This trajectory leads to a mass asymmetry of the fragments defined by  $A_L=104$  $(A_H = 136)$  close to the most probable experimental mass division in the reaction  ${}^{239}Pu(n_{th}, f)$ . The middle equidensity line is the half density  $n_0/2$  with  $n_0=0.16$  $fm^{-3}$ . It is equivalent with the equipotential line  $V_0/2$  of the deformed Woods-Saxon potential used in DSM. Vo is the potential depth. These equipotential lines are shown for comparison on the same slide. The similarity is good.

## Time evolution of the density and of the potential



ity



### **Quadrupole (nucleus) and Octupole (fragments) Moments**



ISINN27, Dubna, June 10-14, 2019 - p.13/1

#### $\beta_2$ and $\beta_3$ deformations of the nascent fragments



ISINN27, Dubna, June 10-14, 2019 - p.14/1

The deformations of the Cassini ovals that have the same  $r_{min}$  and  $d_{min}$  values are found to be  $\alpha_i = 0.968$  and  $\alpha_f = 1.174$  respectively. We therefore calculate the evolution of all neutron wave functions from  $\alpha_i$  to  $\alpha_f$  keeping the mass asymmetry fixed at  $A_L$ =104 with the help of the parameter  $\alpha_1$ . The duration of the transition is  $\Delta T$ =13.4  $10^{-22}$  sec.

Table 1: Scission neutron multiplicity: 240Pu,  $A_L = 104$ , 0.968 >> 1.174,  $\Delta T = 13.4 \times 10^{-22}$  sec

| Ω         | 1/2   | 3/2   | 5/2   | 7/2   | 9/2   | 11/2  | $\Sigma(\Omega)$ |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|
| $ u_{sc}$ | 0.833 | 0.465 | 0.037 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 1.347            |

The results are presented for each set of wave functions of given  $\Omega$ .  $\nu_{sc}$  decreases with  $\Omega$ , the contribution of  $\Omega$ =1/2 being more then 50%. In the case of  $^{240}Pu$  the multiplicity summed over all  $\Omega$  values is found to be 1.347, i.e., half of the prompt fission neutrons measured in the reaction  ${}^{239}Pu(n_{th}, f)$  are released at scission. In addition of being promoted to positive energy states at the end of the scission process, the neutrons are also promoted to states between the Fermi and the "zero" level producing excitation in the primary fragments. Eq (3) gives  $E_{sc}^* = 12.59$  MeV.

Finally we estimate the extra deformation energy  $\Delta E_{def}$  of the primary fragments. From the slides with the time evolution of  $Q_{zz}$  and  $Q_{zzz}$  of each fragment, one can extract their deformations  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  and from this we calculate their post-scission deformation energies (P. Möller et al.)

 Table 2: Deformation parameters of the primary fragments

and their extra deformation energy:  $240Pu, A_L = 104$ 

| Z  | A   | $eta_2$ | $eta_3$ | $\Delta E_{def}$ |
|----|-----|---------|---------|------------------|
| 41 | 104 | 0.39    | 0.10    | 0.79 MeV         |
| 53 | 136 | 0.10    | 0.10    | 2.27 MeV         |

On one side we have the estimated total excitation energy

 $E_{sc}^* + \Delta E_{def} = 15.65 \text{ MeV}$ 

that will be used to emit prompt  $\gamma$  rays and evaporate neutrons.

On the other side we have  $\langle E_{\gamma} \rangle = 6.70$  MeV measured (Knitter et al.) and 1.52 neutrons to evaporate. With  $S_n = 4.97$  MeV and 3.78 MeV for the L and H fragments, the latter requires 6.65 MeV. So the sum is 13.35 MeV.

• We give a first plausible answer to a long-standing question regarding the emission of prompt fission neutrons: is the percentage of neutrons emitted at scission and during acceleration significant? YES!

- We use DSM to calculate  $\nu_{sc}$  and  $E_{sc}^*$  In this phenomenological model the dynamics of the neck rupture determines how many unbound neutrons and how much excitation we have at the end.
- Implementing the scission dynamics given by TD-SLDA into DSM, we obtain  $\nu_{sc}$ = 1.347 (half of the PFN originate at scission) and  $E_{sc}^*$  = 12.59 MeV.
- From the deformations of the primary fragments predicted by TD-SLDA, we calculated their extra deformation energies  $\Delta E_{def}$  =3.06 MeV.
- The total energy balance is fulfilled