

# Measurement of the $^{159}\text{Tb}(n, \gamma)$ Cross Section at the CSNS Back-n Facility

S. Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Huang<sup>1,2</sup>, D.X. Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, D.D. Niu<sup>1,2</sup>, X.Li<sup>1,2</sup>, G. Li<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Gu<sup>1,2</sup>,  
Y.S. Huang<sup>1,2</sup>, Y. Bai<sup>1,2</sup>, Z.L. Wang<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*College of Mathematics and Physics, Inner Mongolia Minzu University,  
Tongliao 028000, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Inner Mongolia Joint Laboratory of Nuclear and Radiation Detection,  
Tongliao 028000, China*

The stellar  $(n, \gamma)$  cross section data for the mass numbers around  $A \approx 160$  are of key importance to nucleosynthesis in the main component of the slow neutron capture process, which occurs in the thermally pulsing asymptotic giant branch (TP-AGB). The new measurement of  $(n, \gamma)$  cross sections for  $^{159}\text{Tb}$  was performed using the  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$  detector system at the back streaming white neutron beam line (Back-n) of the China spallation neutron source (CSNS) with neutron energies ranging from 1 eV to 1 MeV. Experimental resonance capture kernels are reported up to 1.2 keV neutron energy with this capture measurement. Maxwellian-averaged cross sections (MACS) are derived from the measured  $^{159}\text{Tb}(n, \gamma)$  cross sections at  $kT = 5 \sim 100$  keV and are in good agreement with the recommended data of KADoNiS-v0.3 and JEFF-3.3, while KADoNiS-v1.0 and ENDF-VIII.0 significantly overestimate the present MACS up to 40% and 20%, respectively. A sensitive test of the s-process nucleosynthesis is also performed with the stellar evolution code MESA. Significant changes in abundances around  $A \approx 160$  are observed between the ENDF/B-VIII.0 and present measured rate of  $^{159}\text{Tb}(n, \gamma)^{160}\text{Tb}$  in the MESA simulation.

## References

1. S. Zhang, et al. arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.01820 (PRC accepted)
2. R. Reifarth, C. Lederer, and F. Kappeler, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 41, 053101(2014).
3. F.-K. Thielemann, et al., Prog. Part. Nuc. Phys. 66, 346(2011).
4. E. Burbidge, G. Burbidge, W. Fowler and F. Hoyle, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 547(1957).
5. A. Cameron, Technical Report CRLC41 (Chalk River: Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd.), 1957.
6. M. Arnould, S. Goriely, Phys. Rep. 384, 1(2003).
7. S.E. Woosley, D.H. Hartmann, R.D. Hoffman, and W.C. Haxton, Astrophys. J. 356, 272(1990).