Experimental Validation of Surrogate Ratio Method for the (n,xp) cross sections



Presented by Aman Sharma Research scholar Department of Physics, Institute of Science Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India-221005

ISINN-29

1st June 2023

Outline

- Introduction
- Validity of Surrogate Ratio Method
- > Experimental Details and data analysis
- ≻ Results
- Conclusion

Surrogate Reaction Technique

Surrogate reaction technique is an indirect method for cross section determination used for short lived nuclei.



Surrogate reaction method is well established for (n,f) and (n,γ) reactions.
 Cross sections for (n,p), (n,xp) and (n,xα) reactions of radio-nuclides are important for upcoming fusion reactor technology.

Variants Surrogate Method



Surrogate ratio method are more robust in presence of spin dependence of decay probabilities and pre-equilibrium contributions.

Weisskopf-Ewing approximation

Weisskopf-Ewing approximation states that the decay probabilities of a compound nucleus are independent to the spin and parity state of the compound nucleus.



Spin-parity dependent proton emission probabilities of the compound nuclei ⁵⁷Fe* and ⁵³Cr*..

Weak Weisskopf-Ewing approximation

- Two surrogate reactions populating compound nuclei in similar spin distribution.
- Spin difference between compound nuclei populated through neutron induced and surrogate reactions less than 10ħ.
- J^{π} -by- J^{π} convergence of the decay probabilities.



Spin distribution of compound systems populated in neutron induced and surrogate reactions.

Weak Weisskopf-Ewing approximation



- It is observed that the average root mean square deviation of the spin dependent ratios to the ratio calculated from the evaluated data from JENDLE is $\approx 26\%$.
- This means that we can determine (n,xp) cross sections with accuracies 26% and less.

Validation of Surrogate ratio method

The experiment was performed at BARC-TIFR Pelletron Accelerator Facility in Mumbai, India.

Desired Reaction	CN	Surrogate Reaction	⁶ Li Energy	Q _{gg}
⁵⁶ Fe(n,xp)	⁵⁷ Fe	⁶ Li(⁵⁵ Mn, α) ⁵⁷ Fe	25 MeV	14.13 MeV
⁵² Cr(n,xp)	⁵³ Cr	⁶ Li(⁵¹ V, α) ⁵³ Cr	25 MeV	14.74 MeV

➤ Freshly prepared self-supporting targets of ⁵⁵Mn and ⁵¹V of thickness ~600 µg/cm² were used.

Experimental Details





- Si strip telescope detectors (S1 and S2) ($\Delta E \sim 57 \mu m$ and $E \sim 1550 \mu m$) with strips of dimension 3.1x50 mm² and active area of 5x5 cm².
- Si surface barrier telescope detector (T_1 and T_2) ($\Delta E \sim 100 \mu m$ and $E \sim 1 mm$).
- > Detectors were calibrated using ²²⁹Th α -source and ¹²C(⁶Li, α) reaction.

Data Analysis



→ A typical plot of ΔE versus E_{total} obtained from the telescope detector T_1 and one of the strips of S_1

Data Analysis



(a) Time correlations between the detected particles in telescope detector telescope and decay particle recorded in strip detectors. (b) energy spectra of protons detected in strip detectors with PACE-4 calculations.

Data Analysis



> σ_{CN} are compound nucleus formation cross sections and can be calculated using optical model calculations.

Results and Discussion

(a)

(b)



(a) 56 Fe(n,xp) cross sections measured using T₁ and T₂ (b) 56 Fe(n,xp) cross sections measured by adding counts corresponding to T₁ and T₂.

Acknowledgements

- I would like to acknowledge the operating staff of BARC-TIFR
 Pelletron accelerator for the smooth operation of accelerator during the experiment.
- I would also like to acknowledge the financial support received from funded projects sanctioned by SERB-DST, Govt. of India (CRG/2019/000360), UGC-DAE-CSR-KC/CRS/19/NP03/0913, and Institutions of eminence (IoE) BHU (Grant No. 6031).

Thank you for your kind attention!

