



Exploring the Role of Nuclear Structure Effects in Photofission Mechanism of ²³⁷Np



Exploring the Role of Nuclear Structure Effects in Photofission Mechanism of ²³⁷Np

Mehdi Nasri Nasrabadi Mohamad Sepiani

Faculty of Physics, University of Isfahan, 81746-73441, Isfahan, Iran Frank Laboratory of Neutron Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot-Curie 6, 141980 Dubna, Russia

Photoabsorption mechanisms



Nuclear Structure Effects in Photofission Mechanism Photoabsorption mechanisms

Giant dipole resonan

Quasi-deuteron (QD)

 $\sigma_{abs}(E_{\gamma}) = \sigma_{GDR}(E_{\gamma}) + c$

First effective factor in the photon-induced reactions is GSF s one in which the **electric** energy to the nucleus by **onance oscillation**) which ound neutrons and protons **ow 30 MeV** follows GDR

vith the **dipole moment of** ather than with the nucleus . At photon **energies** >35 eutron production is due to

Gamma-ray strength function (GSF)

$$\sigma_{GDR}(E_{\gamma}) = 3(\pi\hbar c)^2 \cdot E_{\gamma} \cdot \overrightarrow{f}(E_{\gamma})$$



Probability of decay to any channel?

Compound reaction cross-section (Hauser-Feshbach formalism) **Typical reaction** $a + A \rightarrow b + B$ (a or b can be γ -ray) $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{comp} = D^{comp} \frac{\pi}{k_{\alpha}^2} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{V}}^{l_a} \sum_{I_{A} \to s_a}^{+I_A + s_a} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{2J_{CN} + 1}{(2I_A + 1)(2s_a + 1)} \sum_{i_a = |J_{CN} - I_A|}^{J_{CN} + I_A} \sum_{i_a = |J_{CN} - I_A|}^{J_a + s_a} \sum_{i_b = |J_{CN} - I_b|}^{J_{CN} + I_B} \sum_{i_b = |J_{CN} - I_b|}^{J_b + s_b}$ $\times \delta(\pi_{\alpha}, \pi_{CN}) \delta(\pi_{CN}, \pi_{\beta}) \frac{T_{\alpha, l_{a}, j_{a}}^{J_{CN}}(E_{a}) \left\langle T_{\beta, l_{b}, j_{b}}^{J_{CN}}(E_{b}) \right\rangle}{\sum_{\gamma l = i} \delta(\pi_{NC}, \pi_{\gamma}) \left\langle T_{\gamma, l_{c}, j_{c}}^{J_{CN}}(E_{c}) \right\rangle} W_{\alpha, l_{a}, j_{a}, \beta, l_{b}, j_{b}}^{J_{CN}}$ **T** : Transmission coefficients $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{comp} = \sum_{J_{CN}=|I_A-s_a|}^{I_A^{max}+I_A+s_a} \sum_{\pi_{CN}=+,-} \sigma_{J_{CN}^{\pi_{CN}}}^{CF}(E_{CN}^*) \frac{\Gamma_{\beta}(E_{CN}^*,J_{CN},\pi_{CN}\to E_x,I_B,\pi_B)}{\Gamma^{tot}(E_{CN}^*,J_{CN},\pi_{CN})}$ **\Gamma F : Partial widths** $\sigma_{J_{CN}^{\pi_{CN}}}^{CF}(E_{CN}^{*}) = D^{comp} \frac{\pi}{k^{2}} \frac{2J_{CN} + 1}{(2I_{A} + 1)(2s_{A} + 1)} \sum_{i=J_{CN}}^{J_{CN} + I_{A}} \sum_{i=J_{CN}}^{J_{CN} + I_{A}} T_{\alpha, I_{a}, j_{a}}^{J_{CN}}(E_{a}) \delta(\pi_{\alpha}, \pi_{CN})$ $\Gamma_{\beta}(E_{CN}^{*}, J_{CN}, \pi_{CN} \to E_{x}, I_{B}, \pi_{B}) = \frac{1}{2\pi \cdot \rho(E_{CN}^{*}, J_{CN}, \pi_{CN})} \sum_{i,j=1,\dots,l}^{J_{CN}+I_{B}} \sum_{j_{b}+s_{b}}^{j_{b}+s_{b}} \left(\delta(\pi_{CN}, \pi_{\beta}) \left\langle T_{\beta, I_{b}, j_{b}}^{J_{CN}}(E_{b}) \right\rangle \right)$

Fission probability and fission transmission coefficients

Fission transmission coefficient is usually calculated using the Hill-Wheeler model and based on the transition state model proposed by Bohr:



Nuclear Structur

Fission probabilit

In the transition state m compound nucleus, and intermediate levels. Th **function of deformation** this barrier, there may b assigned to each of th approximation, the barr which is shifted by the the ground state. The tra ε^{i} above the peak of the

$$T_f^{HW}(E_{CN}^*,\varepsilon_i) = --$$

1 + ex

 $T_{f}^{J_{CN}^{\pi_{CN}}}(E_{CN}^{*}) = \sum T_{f}^{HW}(E_{CN}^{*})\delta(i, J_{CN}^{\pi_{CN}}) + \int_{E_{TN}}^{E_{CN}}\rho_{f}(\varepsilon, J_{CN}, \pi_{CN})T_{f}^{HW}(E_{CN}^{*}, \varepsilon)d\varepsilon$

2nd and 3th effective factors are: **Nuclear level densities** (NLD) **Fission barrier model**

continuum transition states discrete transition states discrete transition states deformation (arb. units)

fission barrier)

Dynamic fission process and multi-humped harriers:

 $T_{eff}^{J_{CN}^{\pi_{CN}}}$

Main Challenge: **Effective factors and their** parameters during fission

process











Configuration



Ground state (G. S.)

First saddle (A)

Super-Deformed Minimum (SD)

Second saddle

£

Nuclear Level Densities at ground state and fission saddle points (NLD)

C S Constant temperature model (CTM)



> Phenomenological models (based on fermi gas model)

All phenomenological models of NLD at energies higher than a few MeV (after matching energy E_M) use the well-known Fermi gas relation:



NLDP parameters that may change during the fission process. Asymptotic NLDP, Shell correction term, Damping parameter, Matching energy respectively NLDP (*a*) and paring parameter (Δ_{pairing}) are adjustable parameter with experimental low levels data.

> Phenomenological models (based on fermi gas model)

Deformation effects as collective rotational and vibrational enhancement factors for NLD:

$$\rho_{def}(E_x, J, \pi) = K_{Rot}(E_x, J) K_{Vib}(E_x) \rho_{int}(E_x, J, \pi) = K_{Coll}(E_x, J) \rho_{int}(E_x, J, \pi)$$

$$K_{Rot} = \sigma_{cut-off\perp}^2 = 0.01389 A^{5/3} \left(1 + \beta_2/3\right) \sqrt{E_{ex}/a} \quad \text{For ground state deformation}$$

The K_{Rot} for barriers depends on the type of symmetry or asymmetry of barriers. For axially asymmetric in barriers:

$$K_{Rot} = \left[\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\sigma_{cut-off\perp}^{2} \left(1+2\beta_{2}/3\right)\sigma_{cut-off\parallel}^{2}-1\right]f(E_{ex})+1$$

$$\sigma_{cut-off\parallel}^{2} - 0.01389A^{5/3}\sqrt{aE_{ex}}/\tilde{c} \qquad f(E_{ex}) = 1/\left(1+exp\left(E_{ex}-U_{fermi}^{bar}/C_{fermi}^{bar}\right)\right)$$
parallel spin cut off parameter combination of Fermi functions

10

> Phenomenological models (based on fermi gas model)

Deformation effects as collective rotational and vibrational enhancement factors for NLD:

$$K_{Rot} = \left(\frac{E_{ex}}{a_{eff}}\right)^{1/4} \left(1 - f(E_{ex})\right) + f(E_{ex}), \quad f(E_{ex}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-0.5(E_{ex} - 18))}$$
 for tri-axial barriers

The effective asymptotic NLDP for fission barrier:

$$\tilde{c} = \frac{\Delta}{13} \tilde{c} = \tilde{c} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left[1 + exp - \left(\left(E_{ex} - U_{fermi}^{bar} \right) / C_{fermi}^{bar} \right) \right]$$

11

Fission barrier models for fission barrier parameters :

Experimental fission barriers model

Mamdouh model

Fis. Barrier models (implemented in TALYS reaction code) Rotating-Finite-Range Model (RFRM) or Sierk model

Rotating-Liquid-Drop Model (RLDM)

There is a WKB approximation model to calculate fission transmission coefficients as an alternative to the Hill-Wheeler approach [40]

Nuclear Structure Effects in Photofission Mechanism > Results for ²³⁷Np photofission

The parameters of fission barrier models for ${}^{237}Np(\gamma, f)$ reaction.

Fission Barrier models	No. of barriers	$\underset{(\text{MeV})}{1^{\text{st}}}$	1 st hω (MeV)	1st Barrier symmetry	$2^{nd} \\ B_{f} \\ (MeV)$	2 nd hω (MeV)	2 nd Barrier symmetry	
Experimental Fission Barriers l	2	6.00	1.00	axial symmetry	5.40	0.50	left-right asymmetry	
Theoretical Mamdouh	2	5.40	1.00	axial symmetry	3.80	0.60	left-right asymmetry	
Theoretical Sierk	1	5.12	0.24	axial symmetry	-	-	-	
Theoretical Rotating Liquid Drop (RLD)	1	7.62 9	0.24	axial symmetry	-	-	-	
WKB Approximation <u>For</u> Fission Path	2	5.27 2	0.627	triaxial and left- right asymmetry	5.234	0.578	axial symmetry	

Table 1. The parameters of fission barrier models for ${}^{237}Np(\underline{\gamma,f})$ reaction.

Results for ²³⁷Np photofission

Comparison of photofission cross section of ²³⁷Np calculated through different NLD models with experimental data

(Experimental fission barrier model)



Results for ²³⁷Np photofission

Comparison of photofission cross section of ²³⁷Np calculated through different NLD models with experimental data

(Mamdouh fission barrier model)



Results for ²³⁷Np photofission

Comparison of photofission cross section of ²³⁷Np calculated through different NLD models with experimental data

(Sierk fission barrier model)



Results for ²³⁷Np photofission

Comparison of photofission cross section of ²³⁷Np calculated through different NLD models with experimental data

(RLD fission barrier model)

RLD fission barrier model significantly underestimates the crosssection values for all NLD models



Nuclear Structure Effects in Photofission Mechanism

Results for ²³⁷Np photofission

Comparison of photofission cross section of ²³⁷Np calculated through different NLD models with experimental data

(WKB approximation model)



Results for ²³⁷Np photofission The parameters of NLD models for ²³⁷Np.

Table 2. The parameters of NLD models for ²³⁷Np.

]	C Different NLD models predict very different behavior at energies											
C												
B	b higher than a few MeV, and there											
	— is a significant difference in the											
G		NL	D r	esul [®]	ts o	f ea	ch	mod	lel		056 097	
Microscopic NLD models												
	Barrier	Cadj	δ_{adj}	_	Barrier	Cadj	δ_{adj}		Barrier	Cadj	δ_{adj}	
SHFBM	g. s.	-0.46731	0.03566	SHFBCM	g. s.	-0.2119	-0.1375	GHFBCM	g. s.	-0.6372	-0.2162	
	barriers	0.00000	0.00000		barriers	0.00000	0.00000		barriers	0.00000	0.00000	

10⁻¹

Results for ²³⁷Np NLD models

Comparison of NI ²³⁷Np at ground st barriers

The main challenge regarding NLD models on fission barriers is that many of their parameters must be changed and their values at these points are unknown or have a large uncertainty Nucle

Eex (MeV)

²³⁷Np

1st Barrier

100

20

²³⁷Np

(G.S)

2nd Barrier

100

20

80

Eex (MeV)

(low energy range)

Results for ²³⁷Np – Collective enhancement factors for ground state and fission barriers

Comparison of collective enhancement factors of phenomenological NLD models of ²³⁷Np at ground state and fission barriers



Results for ²³⁷Np – Gamma ray strength function (GSF) models

(GSF) models (implemented in TALYS reaction code)

- * Kopecky-Uhl generalized Lorentzian model (GLO)
- Brink-Axel Standard Lorentzian model (SLO)
- Skyrme-Hartree-Fock- BCS model (SHFBCS)
- Skyrme-Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov model (SHFB)
- ✤ Goriely Hybrid model (GHM)
- ✤ Temperature-dependent HFB model (THFB)
- Temperature-dependent Relativistic Mean Field model (TRMF)
- ✤ Gogny-Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov model (GHFB)
- Simplified Modified Lorentzian model (SMLO)
- ✤ BSk27+QRPA model

TRMF, GHM and SLO and SMLO models respectively show the best fit with the behavior of the experimental photofission cross section.



Best Results for ²³⁷Np

According to these studies and considering most of the effective components and parameters, the best adaptation is obtained by several combinations of the models of these components, the best of which are shown in this fig.



Nuclear Structure Effects in Photofission Mechanism > Best Results for ²³⁷Np

Table 3. The parameters of combination of best components for ${}^{237}Np(\underline{\gamma},\underline{f})$ reaction.

Fission Barrier model parameters					Fissi	GSF					
$\underset{(\text{MeV})}{1^{\text{st}} B_f}$	1 st hω (MeV)	1 st Barrier symmetry	$\underset{(MeV)}{2^{nd}} B_{f}$	$2^{nd} h \omega_{(MeV)}$	2 nd Barrier symmetry	Model	$C_{adj\ (g.s)}$	C _{adj} (barriers)	δ _{adj} (g.s)	δ _{adj} (barriers)	Model
5.6	1.00	axial symmetry	5.2	0.55	left-right asymmetry	SHFBCM	-0.212	0.0000	-0.138	0.0000	SLO

- > The photofission reaction of 237 NP is a complex dynamic process
- > many factors and components are simultaneously influential in modeling this reaction
- Studying and determining the behavior of each of these components and investigating the extent and manner of their influence on the photofission reaction mechanism is a very important challenge in nuclear physics and technology.
- > These factors are fission barrier models, NLD models and GSF models.
- > Each of these factors and models also has several parameters that can be changed during the fission process
- It was found that these nuclear structural effects are very effective in the fission process and it is necessary to determine their behavior in a valid and accurate manner.
- the best combination of models and parameters was introduced to achieve the best fit with the experimental data for photofission cross section of ²³⁷Np.

Thank you for your attention

